

Public Consultation on Regulation (EU) No 1315/2013 on Union guidelines for the development of the trans-European transport network

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Context and purpose of the consultation

The EU holds responsibility on infrastructure policy - in the fields of transport, energy and telecommunications - since 1 November 1993. Accordingly, the Union shall contribute to the establishment and development of trans-European networks (TEN).

In the transport sector, Europe's TEN-T policy remains key in preventing obstacles to the free circulation of goods, services and citizens throughout the EU in a growing area without frontiers. It aims to boost economic, social and territorial cohesion between all Member States and their regions. More and more, it has become a transport infrastructure concept that extends to EU neighbours and is part of the cooperation with other areas of the world. Importantly, TEN-T policy is at the same time close to European citizens': enhancing accessibility of their home regions and providing connectivity with distant destinations.

Newer developments are going on in transport and other policy fields, which interact with TEN-T policy:

- Global transport flows are changing in volume and direction;
- Interconnection and interoperability between the modes of transport but also with energy and telecommunication networks, projects of common interest with other third countries as well as the UK's withdrawal from the EU will change the EU's "internal transport landscape"
- The transport system is undergoing a fundamental transformation – In the context of a long-term climate strategy the wider deployment of automation, digitalisation and clean vehicles is becoming a reality;
- Improving Military Mobility and dual-use (civilian and military) infrastructure across the Union making better use of our transport network, to ensure that military needs are accounted for when planning or updating certain infrastructure projects is also an important element.

Such developments will entail stronger association of infrastructure with issues such as infrastructure use, efficiency, enhancing mobility concepts or new social questions in transport. They will also call for

stronger cooperation between Member States and a wide range of other actors – public and private ones. Not least, synergies between transport and the energy, digital and telecommunication sectors will increase.

Against this background, the Commission has decided to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of Regulation (EU) No 1315/2013 on Union guidelines for the development of the TEN-T.

This public consultation is designed to support the evaluation of the current Regulation by gathering the views of stakeholders. The Commission published on 13 September 2018 an [Evaluation Roadmap](#).

The survey contains six sections:

- A. General questions on Regulation 1315/2013
- B. The form of the TEN-T network
- C. The features of the TEN-T network
- D. Infrastructure use on the TEN-T network
- E. Implementation tools for the TEN-T network
- F. Further information

In case of questions and remarks, please contact: MOVE-TEN-T-REVISION@ec.europa.eu

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian

- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

Jan

* Surname

Šebesta

* Email (this won't be published)

jsebesta@spcr.cz

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Confederation of Industry of the Czech Republic

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

785320514128-81

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia | <input type="radio"/> Serbia |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica | <input type="radio"/> Estonia | <input type="radio"/> Maldives | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Malta | <input type="radio"/> Singapore |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba | <input type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Martinique | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia | <input type="radio"/> Finland | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia |
| <input type="radio"/> Austria | <input type="radio"/> North Macedonia | <input type="radio"/> Mauritius | <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Azerbaijan | <input type="radio"/> France | <input type="radio"/> Mayotte | <input type="radio"/> Somalia |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahamas | <input type="radio"/> French Guiana | <input type="radio"/> Mexico | <input type="radio"/> South Africa |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahrain | <input type="radio"/> French Polynesia | <input type="radio"/> Micronesia | <input type="radio"/> South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Bangladesh | <input type="radio"/> French Southern and Antarctic Lands | <input type="radio"/> Moldova | <input type="radio"/> South Korea |
| <input type="radio"/> Barbados | <input type="radio"/> Gabon | <input type="radio"/> Monaco | <input type="radio"/> South Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Belarus | <input type="radio"/> Georgia | <input type="radio"/> Mongolia | <input type="radio"/> Spain |
| <input type="radio"/> Belgium | <input type="radio"/> Germany | <input type="radio"/> Montenegro | <input type="radio"/> Sri Lanka |
| <input type="radio"/> Belize | <input type="radio"/> Ghana | <input type="radio"/> Montserrat | <input type="radio"/> Sudan |
| <input type="radio"/> Benin | <input type="radio"/> Gibraltar | <input type="radio"/> Morocco | <input type="radio"/> Suriname |
| <input type="radio"/> Bermuda | <input type="radio"/> Greece | <input type="radio"/> Mozambique | <input type="radio"/> Svalbard and Jan Mayen |
| <input type="radio"/> Bhutan | <input type="radio"/> Greenland | <input type="radio"/> Myanmar/Burma | <input type="radio"/> Swaziland |
| <input type="radio"/> Bolivia | <input type="radio"/> Grenada | <input type="radio"/> Namibia | <input type="radio"/> Sweden |
| <input type="radio"/> Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba | <input type="radio"/> Guadeloupe | <input type="radio"/> Nauru | <input type="radio"/> Switzerland |
| <input type="radio"/> Bosnia and Herzegovina | <input type="radio"/> Guam | <input type="radio"/> Nepal | <input type="radio"/> Syria |
| <input type="radio"/> Botswana | <input type="radio"/> Guatemala | <input type="radio"/> Netherlands | <input type="radio"/> Taiwan |
| <input type="radio"/> Bouvet Island | <input type="radio"/> Guernsey | <input type="radio"/> New Caledonia | <input type="radio"/> Tajikistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Brazil | <input type="radio"/> Guinea | <input type="radio"/> New Zealand | <input type="radio"/> Tanzania |
| <input type="radio"/> British Indian Ocean Territory | <input type="radio"/> Guinea-Bissau | <input type="radio"/> Nicaragua | <input type="radio"/> Thailand |
| <input type="radio"/> British Virgin Islands | <input type="radio"/> Guyana | <input type="radio"/> Niger | <input type="radio"/> The Gambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Brunei | <input type="radio"/> Haiti | <input type="radio"/> Nigeria | <input type="radio"/> Timor-Leste |

- | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Bulgaria | <input type="radio"/> Heard Island and
McDonald Islands | <input type="radio"/> Niue | <input type="radio"/> Togo |
| <input type="radio"/> Burkina Faso | <input type="radio"/> Honduras | <input type="radio"/> Norfolk Island | <input type="radio"/> Tokelau |
| <input type="radio"/> Burundi | <input type="radio"/> Hong Kong | <input type="radio"/> North Korea | <input type="radio"/> Tonga |
| <input type="radio"/> Cambodia | <input type="radio"/> Hungary | <input type="radio"/> Northern Mariana
Islands | <input type="radio"/> Trinidad and Tobago |
| <input type="radio"/> Cameroon | <input type="radio"/> Iceland | <input type="radio"/> Norway | <input type="radio"/> Tunisia |
| <input type="radio"/> Canada | <input type="radio"/> India | <input type="radio"/> Oman | <input type="radio"/> Turkey |
| <input type="radio"/> Cape Verde | <input type="radio"/> Indonesia | <input type="radio"/> Pakistan | <input type="radio"/> Turkmenistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cayman Islands | <input type="radio"/> Iran | <input type="radio"/> Palau | <input type="radio"/> Turks and Caicos
Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Central African
Republic | <input type="radio"/> Iraq | <input type="radio"/> Palestine | <input type="radio"/> Tuvalu |
| <input type="radio"/> Chad | <input type="radio"/> Ireland | <input type="radio"/> Panama | <input type="radio"/> Uganda |
| <input type="radio"/> Chile | <input type="radio"/> Isle of Man | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Ukraine |
| <input type="radio"/> China | <input type="radio"/> Israel | <input type="radio"/> Paraguay | <input type="radio"/> United Arab Emirates |
| <input type="radio"/> Christmas Island | <input type="radio"/> Italy | <input type="radio"/> Peru | <input type="radio"/> United Kingdom |
| <input type="radio"/> Clipperton | <input type="radio"/> Jamaica | <input type="radio"/> Philippines | <input type="radio"/> United States |
| <input type="radio"/> Cocos (Keeling)
Islands | <input type="radio"/> Japan | <input type="radio"/> Pitcairn Islands | <input type="radio"/> United States Minor
Outlying Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia | <input type="radio"/> Jersey | <input type="radio"/> Poland | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros | <input type="radio"/> Jordan | <input type="radio"/> Portugal | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Qatar | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Réunion | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo | <input type="radio"/> Romania | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait | <input type="radio"/> Russia | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena
Ascension and
Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Czech Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic
of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin | |

*Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

* I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

A. General Questions on Regulation EU 1315/2013 on the TEN-T guidelines

Over the years, TEN-T policy has grown into a genuine "European project" that gives direction to public and private investments – to achieve long-term benefits for society, such as accessibility and connectivity of states and regions as well as to facilitate economic development and exchanges in the internal market. Within the framework of the European Union's transport policy, TEN-T provides the basis for efficient, safe and sustainable transport operations. It is, therefore, directly interrelated with a wide range of EU actions on sectoral transport policies and it is a vital enabler of strategic transport policy objectives overall.

The TEN-T Guidelines establish eligibility for EU funding, notably under the Connecting Europe Facility and the Cohesion Fund. Priorities for TEN-T development, arising from the TEN-T guidelines, have been taken up in the corresponding funding legislation and been reflected in budget allocation procedures. In this respect, both "traditional" infrastructure projects (cross-border projects, railway or inland waterway projects, transport terminals etc.) and infrastructure components ensuring quality, efficiency, safety and sustainability of transport operations (intelligent transport systems, charging/refuelling for alternative fuels etc.) have been subject to EU funding.

Regulation (EU) N° 1315/2013 pursues a range of specific objectives, which can be grouped, into four main themes that were also used to structure this questionnaire: form of the network, features of network infrastructure, infrastructure use and implementation of the network.

* 1. In your view, how important is it to have a transport infrastructure policy at EU level?

- Very important
- Important
- Somewhat important
- Not important
- No opinion

Could you please explain briefly your answer?

1000 character(s) maximum

Transport is one of the main enablers of economic growth and prosperity throughout the EU. An efficient, complete, and interoperable transport infrastructure network is vital to connect EU regions, businesses and citizens, and allow them to reap the benefits of the EU single market. While significant progress is still needed in many areas of TEN-T, its development over the past decades has already shown the added value of EU transport infrastructure policy making – for instance: the improved connectivity between east and west Europe following major EU expansions.

The development of EU-wide transport infrastructure supporting cross-border flows of traffic cannot be achieved through fragmented national efforts. It is therefore essential to have EU-level transport infrastructure policy to bring together national efforts under a single, coherent, and effective framework.

*2. In your opinion, what should be the main focus of a transport infrastructure policy at EU level? (You may choose up to 5 options)

at most 5 choice(s)

- Establishing physical cross border infrastructures (railways, roads, inland waterways etc.)
- Removing physical and other bottlenecks in the network as a whole
- Facilitating the coherent and continuous EU wide deployment of innovative transport solutions (alternative fuels, intelligent transport systems etc.)
- Ensuring connectivity and accessibility of all regions of the European Union
- Facilitating multimodal transport chains (connecting ports, airports, rail-road terminals etc.)
- Ensuring EU wide quality infrastructure standards
- Improving dual-use (civilian and military) infrastructure
- Enabling the decarbonisation of transport (e.g. by a shift towards more sustainable modes of transport and to cleaner fuels)
- Other

*3. Where do you see the greatest need for improvement/development in transport infrastructure policy to cope with the needs of today and of the future?

(Please choose your three most important issues)

between 3 and 3 choices

- Enabling new transport and mobility solutions
- Further improving continuity of the TEN-T network and enabling a better use of existing infrastructure
- Speeding up the completion of the trans-European transport network
- Eliminating missing links in physical infrastructure (road, rail, inland waterway transport)
- Enhancing multimodal connecting points (ports, airports, rail-road terminals, urban nodes)
- Further advancing EU wide infrastructure standards
- Further improving cross-border connectivity for the transport of passengers and goods
- Stronger coordination between infrastructure development and transport operations
- Other

*4. What are the main benefits you would expect if infrastructure policy is made and guided at European level?

1000 character(s) maximum

The benefits of transport infrastructure policy making at the EU level is twofold:

- i. Guidance and rule making at the EU-level leads to a more coherent and complete network which is better equipped to serve a functioning single market;
- ii. Certain issues, such as inadequate cross-border links between Member States and with certain third countries, are best addressed at the supranational level.

B. Form of the TEN-T network

The TEN-T network consists of a dual-layer structure. The **comprehensive network** represents the basic layer of the TEN-T and includes components for all transport modes – rail, road, inland waterway, air and maritime as well as their connecting points and corresponding traffic information and management systems.

The **core network** is a subset of the comprehensive network representing the strategically most important

nodes and links of the trans-European transport network. It is multi-modal – i.e. it includes all transport modes and their connections as well as relevant traffic management systems. It has been structured using the nodes of highest strategic importance in the EU (urban nodes, seaports, inland ports airports, rail-road terminals) and connecting them to each other, following the corresponding main traffic flows.

The **core network corridors** are an instrument to facilitate the coordinated implementation of the core network. They cover the most important long distance transport flows on the core network and are intended, to improve cross- border links within the Union. Core network corridors cross at least two borders and involve at least three transport modes.

In this section we would like to hear your opinion on the adequacy of the form of the core and comprehensive TEN-T network to ensure the achievement of the objectives set in the TEN-T guidelines. This concerns in particular:

- Ensuring connectivity and accessibility of all regions of the Union (including remote, outermost, insular, peripheral, mountainous and sparsely populated areas), with the core and comprehensive networks;
- Ensuring coherent and continuous transnational links, without gaps and bottlenecks, for rail, road and inland waterway transport;
- Supporting inner-European and global maritime and air transport through port and airport infrastructure;
- Enabling multimodal transport chains through seamless connections between modes;
- Enabling smooth connections between long-distance and urban/regional transport for passengers and freight, i.e. enhancing "first/last mile legs" from/to origin/final destination;
- Ensuring an optimal interconnection and integration of especially the core network – with a view to high levels of efficiency, sustainability and decarbonisation;
- Connecting the TEN-T with neighbouring countries and where appropriate ensure interconnection and interoperability with other third countries.

*5. In your opinion, is the core network as designed currently (covering links and nodes), adequate to meet the needs of the transport sector and its users?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

*6. In your view, are the TEN-T corridors a suitable tool to complete the TEN-T core network by 2030?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

7. In your view, is the comprehensive TEN-T network adequate in terms of its required characteristics? (You may choose as many options as you consider appropriate)

	Yes	No	No opinion
Safety/Security	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Availability/adequacy of alternative fuel infrastructures	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Availability/adequacy of multimodal infrastructures	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equipment for automated transport	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equipment for Intelligent Transport Systems and digital mobility solutions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

*7.1. Does the comprehensive TEN-T network ensure appropriate complementarity with the core network as well as sufficient accessibility to all EU regions?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

*8. In your opinion is it sufficiently clear how capacity bottlenecks and constraints are identified from the perspective of a European transport network approach?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

C. The features of the TEN-T network

The TEN-T guidelines set certain standards and requirements for the infrastructure on the core and comprehensive network.

In this section, we would like to hear your opinion on the adequacy of the “features” set out in the TEN-T guidelines. This concerns in particular:

- Ensuring interoperability throughout the TEN-T, where appropriate through standardisation;
- Ensuring comprehensive coverage of 'telematics applications' (intelligent transport systems) and other innovative information/communication technologies for all modes and the interconnections between them to use infrastructure most efficiently and to enable high-quality user standards;
- Enabling low carbon and clean transport, as contribution to the EUs Greenhouse Gas emission' reduction objectives (e.g. through refuelling/recharging infrastructure for alternative fuels);
- Enabling the mitigation of noise emissions and other harmful impacts on citizens and the environment;
- Ensuring high levels of safety and security in transport as well as of infrastructure resilience (in view of climate change adaptation and natural and man-made disasters);
- Applying and stimulating innovative technologies and innovative operational concepts (support decarbonisation, enhance safety and security, improve operations and information provision on the network etc.) and thereby contributing to the 'innovation chain' by deploying Research & Innovation results and identifying R&I needs;
- Ensuring accessibility to TEN-T infrastructure for all user groups.

*9. Are the standards and requirements for all modes of transport (on infrastructure, interoperability, safety etc.) as set out in chapter II of the Regulation complete?



Yes, they are complete

- They are mostly satisfactory
- They are somewhat unsatisfactory and lack essential elements
- No, they are not satisfactory
- No opinion

Could you please explain your answer?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 10. From your perspective, has the aim of fostering the uptake of alternative fuels and propulsion systems, set in the TEN-T Regulation, been achieved?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

10.1. If no, what is still missing?

- Availability of infrastructure
- Interoperability of infrastructure standards
- There are not enough vehicles on the market that could make use of these systems
- Other

* 11. In your view, has the aim of stimulating innovative technologies and operational concepts along the TEN-T been achieved?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

* 12. In your view, has the objective of mitigating noise emissions and other harmful impacts on citizens (accidents, pollution, congestion) on the TEN-T network been achieved?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

* 13. In your view, has the TEN-T regulation helped to promote modal shift (from road/air to rail and inland waterway transport)?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

If no, please specify

1000 character(s) maximum

e.g. insufficient support of multimodal transport chains / terminals

* 14. In your view, has the TEN-T regulation helped to promote a clean and low carbon transport system overall?

- Yes
 No
 No opinion

* 15. In your view, are urban nodes/cities sufficiently integrated in the TEN-T network (in terms of multi-modal connections, last mile passenger and freight connections, possibilities for seamless through-traffic etc.)?

- Yes
 No
 No opinion

D. Infrastructure Use

The TEN-T guidelines specifically aim to achieve a better and more efficient use of existing and new infrastructure while increasing the benefits for the users. This concerns in particular:

- Enabling attractive, sustainable and efficient transport and mobility services, in accordance with the needs and expectations of users in the passengers' and freight sectors;
- Strengthening the integration of TEN-T development and transport service-related policy action in fields such as rail freight corridors, promotion of sustainable and innovative freight transport /logistics chains as well as of seamless multi-modal chains for passengers, maritime and air transport;
- Enabling the increased use of 'sustainable transport modes'
- Enhancing the efficiency of infrastructure use/provision through pricing and other appropriate regulatory measures

* 16. In your view, has the aim of enabling attractive sustainable and efficient multimodal transport and mobility services in accordance to users' needs in the freight transport sector been achieved?

- Yes
 Yes, Mostly
 Partly
 No, not at all
 No opinion

Could you please explain briefly your answer?

1000 character(s) maximum

While the development of the TEN-T network has improved the ability for transport operators to provide attractive, sustainable and efficient multimodal transport and mobility services, it is difficult to state that this aim 'has been achieved'. The TEN-T network is not yet complete, progress has been too slow, and many shortcomings still need to be addressed, for instance: the existence of cross-border missing links in the railway sector continue to exist; ERTMS has only been deployed along 8% of the core network; the availability of safe and secure parking spaces for trucks is severely lacking; costs of congestions on EU roads is estimated to be around EUR 250 bn/year; or there are not sufficient and adequate publicly available electric recharging points.

Rail freight transport is still significantly more expensive. Moreover, due to the preference of passenger rail transport in so-called public service obligation, its requirements are not addressed in time (capacity, slots,...).

* 17. In your view, has the aim of enabling attractive sustainable and efficient multimodal transport and mobility services in accordance to users' needs in the passenger transport sector been achieved?

- Yes
- Yes, Mostly
- Partly
- No, not at all
- No opinion

Could you please explain briefly your answer?

1000 character(s) maximum

* 18. In your view, has the TEN-T regulation helped to increase the efficiency of infrastructure use and infrastructure provision in the EU?

- Yes
- Yes, Mostly
- Partly
- No, not at all
- No opinion

Could you please explain briefly your answer?

1000 character(s) maximum

E. Implementation tools

In order to support the implementation of TEN-T policy while involving a wide range of stakeholders and ensuring coherence with other EU instruments a number of implementation tools have been established in the TEN-T guidelines. In this section, we would like to hear your opinion on:

- The suitability of the core network corridors as an implementation instrument
- The coordination between TEN-T implementation and other EU instruments
- The usefulness of the European coordinators in supporting the implementation of the corridors

19. In your view, is there sufficient coherence between the TEN-T policy and other EU policies?

	Yes	No	No opinion
Structural and cohesion policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Sustainable urban mobility policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Environmental policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic/trade policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Social/employment policy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Cooperation with third countries policy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

*20. In your view, is there sufficient coherence between the TEN-T policy and other current and upcoming transport policies objectives/trends (alternative fuels, new mobility patterns, sustainable urban mobility, automation etc.)?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

*21. Are you familiar with the European coordinators?

- Yes
- No

21.1. If yes, do you perceive the European coordinators useful to facilitate and accelerate the implementation of the TEN-T core network?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

*22. In your opinion, how realistic are the dates for completion of the core network in 2030 and the comprehensive network in 2050?

- Very realistic
- Moderately realistic
- Less realistic
- Not at all realistic
- No opinion

* 23. Have you already used the European Commission's TEN-Tec system?

- Yes
 No

23.1. If yes, how would you rate the usefulness of the European Commission's TEN-Tec system, which provides statistical information and maps on the status of the TEN-T network?

- Very useful
 Useful
 Somewhat useful
 Not useful
 No opinion

F. Further information

24. If you wish to add further information or comments - within the scope of this questionnaire - please feel free to do so here.

3000 character(s) maximum

We wish to supplement our responses to the specific questions above with the following observations:

- The TEN-T network is an extremely important programme and has achieved some important results across Europe. Its timely completion should be an absolute priority (i.e. the core network by 2030 and the comprehensive network by 2050 – or earlier).
- Progress has, however, been too slow and the remaining financing needs are incredibly high. An improved framework is needed to facilitate the national implementation of TEN-T projects, and sufficient financing must be available. While grants should continue to be the cornerstone of EU investment policy in transport, other means of financing such as blending and mobilising further private sector investment is needed.
- TEN-T policy should promote co-modality. It is important to recognise that the various modes of transport play different roles and should be seen as being complementary to each other. While interconnection is important, it is also necessary to ensure that all modes improve efficiency. TEN-T planning therefore ensure a level playing field between different modes of transport.
- The currently applicable Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for assessing the compliance of corridor networks are too static to provide adequate insights into bottlenecks on various corridors. More dynamic KPIs such as congestion data should be incorporated.
- Maintenance of existing infrastructure is becoming an increasingly important factor so that infrastructure can continue to be used on the long term without major interruptions. Promoting a lifecycle approach towards procurement (going beyond mere price-aspects) can incentivise more sustainable choices in the design and build of infrastructure, and better monitoring.

25. Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as additional evidence supporting your responses or a position paper. The maximum file size is 1MB.

Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Contact

MOVE-TEN-T-REVISION@ec.europa.eu
